UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/726,719 | 10/726,719 12/03/2003 Keith A. Thuerk | | BOC9-2003-0077 (448) | 6364 |
| 40987 AKERMAN SE | 7590 06/20/200 ENTERFITT | EXAMINER | | |
| P. O. BOX 3188 | 8 | FRITZ, BRADFORD F | | |
| WEST PALM I | BEACH, FL 33402-318 | 88 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2141 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/20/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| | 10/726,719 | THUERK, KEITH A. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | BRADFORD F. FRITZ | 2141 | |

| | | BIOUBLE CIRCLE TELLINITE | 2171 | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this cor | nmunication appe | ars on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>04 June 2008</u> FAILS TO | PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection application, applicant must timely file of application in condition for allowance; (for Continued Examination (RCE) in conjugation. | ne of the following (2) a Notice of Appe | replies: (1) an amendment, affideal (with appeal fee) in complian | avit, or other evidence, w ce with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresm | _ | | | |
| b) | eriod for reply expire la eck either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T | iling date of the final rejection | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFF have been filed is the date for purposes of determ under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the e set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receiv may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. SOUTICE OF APPEAL | R 1.136(a). The date ining the period of extexpiration date of the seed by the Office later | , on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply o | int of the fee. The appropria riginally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on | . A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b | be filed within two months | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41. Notice of Appeal has been filed, any re AMENDMENTS | 37(a)), or any exter | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid dismissal of the | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after (a) They raise new issues that would (b) They raise the issue of new matter than the first than the first than the first than the first that the first than the first | d require further cor | nsideration and/or search (see N | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the appeal; and/or | • | • | reducing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) | _ | - | rejected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance | - • | * ** | Compliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the fo | | | oomphane, anonamone (i | 102 021). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s). | | | e, timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims would The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | l be rejected is prov | | will be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration | : | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed aft because applicant failed to provide a s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFI | howing of good and | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed aft entered because the affidavit or other showing a good and sufficient reasons | evidence failed to o | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app | peal and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is enter REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTH | | n of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has be | peen considered bu | does NOT place the application | n in condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclos</i> 13. Other: | sure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | - | |
| /Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit | 2142 | | | |
| | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments require further search and consideration (i.e. claims 1, 11, and 21).